

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Lord
<b>Given Name</b>	Tim
<b>Person ID</b>	1287536
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Lord
<b>Given Name</b>	Tim
<b>Person ID</b>	1287536
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Green belt land should remain undeveloped.</p> <p>There are many areas available for improvement and development without ruining our country side.</p> <p>Development makes no consideration on the impact to local infrastructure which already congested and inadequate.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Improve existing infrastructure to accomadate any proposed new developments.</p> <p>Ensure vast areas of post industrial land is adapted before building on virgin land.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Lord
<b>Given Name</b>	Tim
<b>Person ID</b>	1287536
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Lord
<b>Given Name</b>	Tim
<b>Person ID</b>	1287536
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>No consideration of impact on existing infrastructure and effects of additional congestion etc for local people.</p> <p>Removing green belt should not an option.</p> <p>Redevelop vacant premises on existing industrial estates before building more unwanted premises.</p>